Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

United States District Cou	rt
Northern District of Califor	nia

DIGITAL REG OF TEXAS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED, VALVE CORPORATION, ELECTRONIC ARTS INC., UBISOFT, INC., SYMANTEC CORPORATION, AVG TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC., ZYNGA GAME NETWORK INC., ZYNGA, INC., and INTUIT INC..

Defendant.

Case No.: CV 12-01971-CW (KAW)

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF DIGITAL REG OF TEXAS, LLC'S MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 7-11 FOR LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL UNREDACTED RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT UBISOFT'S MOTION TO STRIKE AND EXHIBITS 7 TO 19 OF THE DECLARATION OF W. PAUL SCHUCK

(Dkt. No. 504)

On February 21, 2014, Plaintiff Digital Reg of Texas, LLC ("Digital Reg") filed a motion for administrative relief to file documents under seal in support of its response to Defendant Ubisoft, Inc.'s motion to strike. (Dkt. No. 504.)

As to Plaintiff's request to file the unredacted version of its response under seal, the Court GRANTS that request pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5.

As to filing exhibits 7 to 19 under seal in their entirety, the Court GRANTS IT IN PART AND DENIES IT IN PART as set forth below:

- 1. Plaintiff's request to completely seal Exhibit Nos. 7-17 is DENIED. Plaintiff appears to attach documents that have already been provided by Ubisoft in a redacted format, and Plaintiff has provided no support for why the entire exhibits should be filed under seal versus being redacted.
 - 2. Plaintiff's request to seal Exhibit Nos. 18 and 19 is GRANTED.

Case 4:12-cv-01971-CW Document 518 Filed 03/13/14 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court Northern District of California

In accordance with General Order No. 62, the requesting party shall e-file under seal those
documents deemed protected according to the procedures outlined on the ECF website. The
documents deemed not privileged will not be filed with the Court. The Clerk will retain the
lodged documents in accordance with General Order No. 62 and Civil L.R. 79-5. If Plaintiff
wants the Court to consider those exhibits during its review of the submitted motion, Plaintiff
should either resubmit the documents for filing in the public record in accordance with General
Order No. 62 and Civil L.R. 79-5(e) or file another application to file under seal that does not
unnecessarily attempt to seal entire documents.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: March 13, 2014

KANDIS A. WESTMORE
United States Magistrate Judge